Physical Activity and Health

www.afiz-pah.pl

Rules of evaluating manuscripts

Evaluation sheets filled by the reviewers:

Original reports

Evaluation sheet	Poor	Low	Average	Good	Excellent
Originality of the subject					
Study structure					
Subjects and methodology					
Presentation and discussion of results					
Selection of references					
Overall					

Reviewer's suggestions:

Accept Accept after revision Rewrite and review again Discard

Suggestions to the reviewers as to the topics to be considered:

- 1. Are the summary and key words clear and consistent with the body of the text?
- 2. Study aim was it well defined and formulated?
- 3. Were the subjects studied and the methodology properly described?
- 4. Do the results seem reliable and consistent with the title and study aim?
- 5. Are the tables and figures well prepared and indispensable?
- 6. Are the conclusions not a simple summary of the results?
- 7. Are the references well selected? Any items excessive or missing?

Review papers and those to the Open Forum

Evaluation sheet	Poor	Low	Average	Good	Excellent
Originality of the subject					
Study structure					
Reasonability and impartiality					
Illustrative material (tables, figures, etc.)					
Selection of references					
Overall					

Reviewer's suggestions as above

Suggestions to the reviewers as to the topics to be considered:

- 1. Are the summary and key words clear and consistent with the body of the text?
- 2. Study aim was it well defined and formulated?
- 3. Is the study structure matching the subject of the manuscript?
- 4. Were the views and opinions honestly presented?
- 5. Was the factual meterial well prepared and indispensable?
- 6. Does the manuscript contain an appropriate summary and recommendation?
- 7. Are the references well selected? Any items excessive or missing?